- (d) Rebuttable presumptions of control. The following rebuttable presumptions shall be used in any proceeding under this section:
- (1) Control of voting securities—(i) Securities convertible into voting securities. A company that owns, controls, or holds securities that are immediately convertible, at the option of the holder or owner, into voting securities of a bank or other company, controls the voting securities.
- (ii) Option or restriction on voting securities. A company that enters into an agreement or understanding under which the rights of a holder of voting securities of a bank or other company are restricted in any manner controls the securities. This presumption does not apply where the agreement or understanding:
- (A) Is a mutual agreement among shareholders granting to each other a right of first refusal with respect to their shares;
- (B) Is incident to a *bona fide* loan transaction; or
- (C) Relates to restrictions on transferability and continues only for the time necessary to obtain approval from the appropriate Federal supervisory authority with respect to acquisition by the company of the securities.
- (2) Control over company—(i) Management agreement. A company that enters into any agreement or understanding with a bank or other company (other than an investment advisory agreement), such as a management contract, under which the first company or any of its subsidiaries directs or exercises significant influence over the general management or overall operations of the bank or other company controls the bank or other company.
- (ii) Shares controlled by company and associated individuals. A company that, together with its management officials or controlling shareholders (including members of the immediate families of either as defined in 12 CFR 206.2(k)), owns, controls, or holds with power to vote 25 percent or more of the outstanding shares of any class of voting securities of a bank or other company controls the bank or other company, if the first company owns, controls, or holds with power to vote more than 5 percent of the outstanding shares of

any class of voting securities of the bank or other company.

- (iii) Common management officials. A company that has one or more management officials in common with a bank or other company controls the bank or other company, if the first company owns, controls or holds with power to vote more than 5 percent of the outstanding shares of any class of voting securities of the bank or other company, and no other person controls as much as 5 percent of the outstanding shares of any class of voting securities of the bank or other company.
- (iv) Shares held as fiduciary. The presumptions in paragraphs (d)(2) (ii) and (iii) of this section do not apply if the securities are held by the company in a fiduciary capacity without sole discretionary authority to exercise the voting rights.
- (e) Presumption of non-control—(1) In any proceeding under this section, there is a presumption that any company that directly or indirectly owns, controls, or has power to vote less than 5 percent of the outstanding shares of any class of voting securities of a bank or other company does not have control over that bank or other company.
- (2) In any proceeding under this section, or judicial proceeding under the BHC Act, other than a proceeding in which the Board has made a preliminary determination that a company has the power to exercise a controlling influence over the management or policies of the bank or other company, a company may not be held to have had control over the bank or other company at any given time, unless that company, at the time in question, directly or indirectly owned, controlled, or had power to vote 5 percent or more of the outstanding shares of any class of voting securities of the bank or other company, or had already been found to have control on the basis of the existence of a controlling influence relationship.

[Reg. Y, 49 FR 818, Jan. 5, 1984, as amended at 58 FR 474, Jan. 6, 1993]

§ 225.32 Divestiture proceedings.

(a) *Ineffective divestitures.* (1) The divestiture of assets or voting securities by a bank holding company (or a company that would be a bank holding

§ 225.32

company but for the divestiture) is ineffective, and the divesting company shall be presumed to control the acquiring person or the divested assets or securities, if:

(i) The acquiring person is indebted in any manner to the divesting company; or

(ii) The divesting company has any management official in common with

the acquiring person.

- (2) Except in the case of a proceeding initiated under paragraph (f) of this section or §225.31 of this subpart, the Board will regard the presumption of control in paragraph (a)(1)(i) of this section and section 2(g)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act as inapplicable in the case of the sale or divestiture of assets or voting securities by a divesting company if:
- (i) The acquiring person is not an affiliate or a principal shareholder of the divesting company, or a company controlled by such a principal shareholder; and
- (ii) The acquiring person does not have any officer, director, trustee, or beneficiary in common with or subject to control by the divesting company.
 - (3) For the purposes of this section:
- (i) Indebtedness does not include routine business or personal credit that is unrelated to the divestiture transaction and that is extended by the divesting company in the ordinary course of its lending business; and
- (ii) Divesting company and acquiring person include their parent companies, subsidiaries, and, if the acquiring person is an individual, companies controlled by the individual.
- (b) Request for divestiture determination. For any divestiture that is deemed ineffective under paragraph (a) of this section, the divesting company may request the Board to determine that the divestiture is in fact effective by submitting a letter that includes:
- (1) A description of the divestiture transaction and the existing and prospective relationship between the divesting company and the acquiring person:
- (2) Evidence and argument demonstrating that the divesting company is not capable of controlling the acquiring person or the divested assets or securities; and

- (3) A request for a hearing, if desired.
- (c) Hearing. The Board shall order a formal hearing or other appropriate proceeding upon the request of a divesting company under paragraph (b) of this section, if the Board finds that material facts are in dispute. The Board may also order a formal hearing or other proceeding if, in the Board's judgment, such a proceeding would be appropriate.
- (d) Standards for making divestiture determination. In acting on the request of a divesting company under paragraph (b) of this section, the Board shall consider the following factors, among others, in determining whether the divesting company is capable of controlling the acquiring person or the divested assets or securities:
- (1) Indebtedness of acquiring person to divesting company. (i) The terms of the indebtedness, including the amount of the indebtedness in relation to the total purchase price;
- (ii) The ability of the acquiring person to repay the indebtedness; and
- (iii) The manner in which the divesting company would dispose of the divested assets in the event it reacquires the assets as a result of default on the indebtedness.
- (2) Management official interlocks. The extent of the involvement of the interlocking management official in the operations of the divesting company and the acquiring person, and the management official's relationship to the assets or securities being divested.
- (e) Final determination. After considering the submission of the divesting company and other evidence, including the record of any hearing or other proceeding, the Board shall issue an order determining whether the company controls or is capable of controlling the acquiring person or the divested assets or securities.
- (f) Review of other divestitures. In any divestiture of assets or securities by a company that is not covered under paragraph (a) of his section, the Board may review the divestiture to assure that the divesting company is not capable of controlling the acquiring person or the divested assets or securities.

[Reg. Y, 49 FR 818, Jan. 5, 1984, as amended at $60 \; \mathrm{FR} \; 35121, \; \mathrm{July} \; 6, \; 1995]$